# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY **PCT**

REC'D 1 1 APR 2006

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 045013	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/AU2004/001767	17 December 2004		19 December 2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and	IPC		
Int. Cl.				
A63F 13/10 (2006.01)	A63F 5/04 (2006.01)	G07F 17/3	34 (2006.01)	
Applicant	1			
KONAMI AUSTRALIA PTY L	ID et al		-8-	
	•			
1. This report is the international prelimina	ry examination report, esta	ablished by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examining	
Authority under Article 35 and transmitt	ted to the applicant accordi	ing to Article 36.	•	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5	sheets, including this cove	r sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied by ANN	NEXES, comprising:		•	
a. (sent to the applicant and to the	: International Bureau) a to	otal of sheets, as	follows:	
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or table related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications relating			-	
Box No. I Basis of the repor	t			
Box No. II Priority				
	nt of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
X Box No. IV Lack of unity of i			*	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
	the international application			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report				
15 July 2005		March 2006	-	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		thorized Officer		
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE				
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	LIA S I	KAUL		
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		lenhone No. (02) 63	283 2182	

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001767

Box	x No. I Basis of the report
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on:
100	X The international application in the language in which it was filed
	A translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
	international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b))
-	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
	international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2.	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
	the international application as originally filed/furnished
	X the description:
	pages 1-18 as originally filed/furnished
	pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of
	pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of
	X the claims:
	pages 19-26 as originally filed/furnished  pages* 27 as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of
	pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of
	X the drawings:
	pages 1-8 as originally filed/furnished  pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of  pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos.
	the drawings, sheets/figs
	the sequence listing (specify):
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been
	made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos.
	the drawings, sheets/figs
	the sequence listing (specify):
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
*	If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001767

Вох	K No. I	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
1.	. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:		
		the entire international application	
	X	claims Nos: 29-47	
	beca	use:	
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos.	
		relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):	
		$\cdot$	
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos.	
		are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):	
		Tays.	
	Ш	the claims, or said claims Nos.  are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify)	
		· .	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	X	no international search report has been established for said claim Nos. 29-47	
•		A meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:	
		Furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.	
		Furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.	
	•	Pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.	
-		A meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it	
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
		See Supplemental Box for further details.	

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001767

Во	x No.	IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.		In resp	ponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
			restricted the claims
			paid additional fees
			paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
			paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
•			neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees
2.	X	This A	uthority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This 2	Authori	ty considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
		compli	ed with.
	X	not con	mplied with for the following reasons:
k		relate	ternational application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to from a single general inventive concept. In g to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are two inventions:
٠	-	1	Claims 1 to 28, 44, 45 are directed towards a payline in a gaming machine wherein the number of elements in a payline is greater than the number of columns in a matrix. It is considered that setting the number of elements in a payline to be greater than the number of columns in a matrix comprises a first "special technical feature".
		2.	Claims 29 to 41, 46, 47 are directed towards a payline in a gaming machine wherein a predefined payline is selected at random. Selecting a predefined payline at random is considered to comprise a second separate "special technical feature".
		"techn	the abovementioned groups of claims do not share either of the technical features identified, a ical relationship" between the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2 does not exist. Accordingly the trional application does not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.
4.	Conse	quently	this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	[	all	parts.
	. [	X the	e parts relating to claims Nos. 1-28

International application No.

YES

NO

PCT/AU2004/001767

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
-----------	---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 28	NO ·
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 28	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 - 28	VEC

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

#### Citations

D1:US 5611535 A (Tiberio) 18 March 1997

D2: JP 2002-325881 A (Takasago Electric Ind Co Ltd)12 November 2002

Claims 1 - 28

Claims

D3: AU 768153 B2 (Aristocrat Technologies Pty Ltd) 31 January 2002

D4: JP 2003-236055 A (Sami KK) 26 August 2003

Prior art documents D3 and D4 are state of the art citations only and are of no further relevance to this report.

## Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS) of Claims 1 to 28

Claims 1 to 28 are not novel and lack an inventive step when compared to prior art documents D1 and D2, which each disclose all of the essential features of the claimed invention. That is, they disclose gaming machines with win lines having more symbols than there are columns.

#### Industrial Applicability (IA) of Claims 1 to 28

The claimed invention has industrial applicability in the field of gaming machines.